

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE— Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bec.1450. Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 1000W.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOC'KET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/764,565 | 01/27/2004 | Sung Su Han | R12-28,168 | 9027 |
| 6147 | 7590 04/13/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY | | | VIJAYAKUMAR, KALLAMBELLA M | |
| GLOBAL RESEARCH PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59 NISKAYUNA, NY 12309 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1751 | |

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/764,565 | HAN ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Kallambella Vijayakumar | 1751 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperent of the Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ja | nuarv 2004. | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) | ithdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| | _ | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | |

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

- This is a divisional of Sl. No. 09/728,862, filed 12/04/2000, now US Patent 6,749,776.
- Preliminary amendment filed 01/27/2004 has been entered. Claims 24-35 are pending with the application.
- The IDS filed 01/27/2004 has been considered by the examiner

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 24-30, drawn to method of determining work function, classified in class
 324, subclass 72.
- II. Claims 31-34, drawn to Kelvin Probe System, classified in class 324, subclass72.5.
- III. Claim 35, drawn to method of making a fluorescent lamp, classified in class 313, subclass 567+.

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the work function could be determined by atomic force microscopy.

Inventions III and I-II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different

inventions Group-I/II relates to method of measuring work function of an electron emissive material and an apparatus to measure the work function, while Groups-III relate to a process of making fluorescent lamp that could be used to make a flat panel display.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II or III, and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Andrew J. Caruso on March 02, 2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group-II, claims 31-34. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 24-30 and 35are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baikie et al (Review of Scientific Instruments, 1998, 69(11), pp 3902-3907).

Baikie teaches a Scanning Kelvin Probe System for measuring work function and surface potential topographies of materials comprising of a sample station/platform, a tip positioner with XYZ stage driver and a scanning Kelvin probe coupled to a computer (PC). The PC based control and measurement units incorporate a flexible user interface and software control of the major parameters of the Kelvin system. The system provided tip-to-sample spacing control allowing simultaneous imaging of sample topographies in 3D that meets the limitation of Kelvin probe combinatorial testing system in claim-31 (Pg 3902, Abstract; Pg 3903, Fig-2). With regard to claims 32-34, the prior art teaches the Kelvin system containing multiple-head-probes capable of a tip-to-sample positioning with line-scans or topographies, and software control of the probe and measurements (Pg 3904, SKP- review). All the limitations of the instant claims are met.

The reference is anticipatory.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kallambella Vijayakumar whose telephone number is 571-272-

Application/Control Number: 10/764,565 Page 5

Art Unit: 1751

1324. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 07.00 - 16.30 hrs, Alt. Fri: 07.00-15.30

hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KMV

March 07, 2005.

Mark Kopec